



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,437	11/30/2004	Scott Manzo	2843	2942
Covidien 60 Middletown Avenue North Haven, CT 06473			EXAMINER HUANG, LIAN	
			ART UNIT 3731	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/05/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/516,437	Applicant(s) MANZO, SCOTT	
	Examiner LIAN HUANG	Art Unit 3731	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LIAN HUANG. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Frank Sardone. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yencho et al. (US 6,206,913).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreement was made that clarification of the discrete locking member is necessary to begin to overcome the teachings of Yencho et al. Amendments will require further search and reconsideration to determine patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/L. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3731	/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731
------------------------------------	---